

LES OF RESPONSE OF STRATOCUMULUS CLOUDS TO A CLIMATE PERTURBATION

Johan van der Dussen | Sara Dal Gesso | Stephan de Roode | Pier Siebesma





Motivation

CGILS response to perturbed climate using LES



How will stratocumulus clouds change in a future climate?







Case setup

Bulk humidity and potential temperature difference





Simulation details

Model	DALES 4
number of simulations	25 (x2)
simulation time	10 days
domain size	6 x 6 x 3 km ³
Δz	10 m
$\Delta x = \Delta y$	50 m
N_z	219
$N_x = N_y$	120





1. Control Climate

Results - control

Inversion height



Cloud cover is 100% everywhere





Results - control

Liquid water path





8

TUDelft

Results

LWP mostly depends on free tropospheric humidity





2. Perturbed climate

Climate perturbation

Temperature increase at constant relative humidity



|Δ*Q*| increases by up to 1.4 g kg⁻¹!



Response to perturbation

Inversion height increases



TUDelft

Two competing processes

- increased surface flux: $z_i \uparrow$
- increased downwelling longwave radiative flux: $z_i \downarrow$

Cloud cover remains 100% everywhere



Response to perturbation

LWP response partly related to change of ΔQ







Response to perturbation

Relative humidity decreases







Conclusions

- Climate feedback is positive for all cases
- LWP response mainly result of by change of ΔQ
- Cloud thinning response mainly due to drying of cloud layer







LES OF RESPONSE OF STRATOCUMULUS CLOUDS TO A CLIMATE PERTURBATION

Johan van der Dussen | Sara Dal Gesso | Stephan de Roode | Pier Siebesma











Inversion jumps







Mean state profiles



TUDelft

Turbulence state profiles





Climate response







Climate response – source/sinks







Entrainment-liquid flux adjustment



TUDelft