# The ASTEX Lagrangian model intercomparison case:

#### **LES results**



Johan van der Dussen and Stephan de Roode

TU Delft, Netherlands



#### Contents

#### Comparison with observations

- Cloud evolution
- Mean state
- -Turbulence

#### LES diagnostics

- Radiation

#### • Subsidence, entrainment and cloud layer depth evolution

- Cloud layer budgets for heat and moisture



#### **Cloud layer evolution**



- Bulk evolution similar in different models
- Increasing difference mean and lowest cloud base heights

### **Cloud liquid water path**



 DHARMA
 UKMO
 SAM
 UCLA
 DALES

• Nearly factor 2 difference in LWP  $\approx \alpha (z_{top}-z_{base})^2$ 

### **Cloud cover**



 DHARMA
 UKMO
 SAM
 UCLA
 DALES

• Timing of break up differs a couple of hours

### **Cloud albedo**



 DHARMA
 UKMO
 SAM
 UCLA
 DALES

### **Entrainment**



 DHARMA
 UKMO
 SAM
 UCLA
 DALES

• LES entrainment rate varies between 1 and 2 cm/s

#### Contents

#### Comparison with observations

- Cloud evolution
- Mean state (heat and moisture)
- -Turbulence
- LES diagnostics
  - Radiation
- Subsidence, entrainment and cloud layer depth evolution
  - Cloud layer budgets for heat and moisture



#### Liquid water potential temperature



• Free atmosphere: balance radiative cooling and subsidence warming

• Boundary layer too warm at the end of the transition



#### **Total water content**

 DHARMA
 UKMO
 SAM
 UCLA
 DALES

• Subcloud layer too moist at the end of the transition

### Aircraft observations during the 36<sup>th</sup> hour at 780 m



- Cumuli present in relatively moist and cold air
- Small LES domain cannot represent mesoscale fluctuations

#### Contents

#### Comparison with observations

- Cloud evolution
- Mean state
- -Turbulence (decoupling and moisture transport)
- LES diagnostics
  - Radiation
- Subsidence, entrainment and cloud layer depth evolution
  - Cloud layer budgets for heat and moisture



#### Horizontal wind velocity variance



• LES results compare well with observations (running mean filtered, 3.1 km)

#### **Vertical wind velocity variance**





◊ observations

- LES results give double peak structure
- At t=36 hr observations show larger variance

### **Buoyancy flux**



 DHARMA
 UKMO
 SAM
 UCLA
 DALES

◊ observations

- Good agreement for stratocumulus
- Cumulus results very sensitive to cloud cover

## **Total specific humidity flux**



 DHARMA
 UKMO
 SAM
 UCLA
 DALES



<u>r</u>	subcloud layer
r < 1	moistening

- r = 1 zero moisture flux divergence
- r > 1 drying

# **Surface precipitation**





•Tekst

#### Contents

- Comparison with observations
  - Cloud evolution
  - Mean state
  - -Turbulence (decoupling and moisture transport)

#### • LES diagnostics

- Radiation
- Subsidence, entrainment and cloud layer depth evolution
  - Cloud layer budgets for heat and moisture



# Cloud albedo bias from DALES large domain (25.6x25.6 km<sup>2</sup>) results: inhomogeneity factor $\chi$



• Constant solar zenith angle is used to diagnose  $\chi$  from hourly 3D fields

• Calculation of  $\chi$  excludes clear air columns



#### Contents

- Comparison with observations
  - Cloud evolution
  - Mean state
  - -Turbulence (decoupling and moisture transport)
- LES diagnostics
  - Radiation

#### • Subsidence, entrainment and cloud layer depth evolution

- Cloud layer budgets for heat and moisture



### Large-scale divergence



previous round



#### **Cloud cover (cc) and cloud boundaries**



- Divergence decreasing: deep solid stratocumulus
- Divergence constant: shallow cumulus

#### Subsidence, entrainment (w<sub>e</sub>) and liquid water path (LWP)

weakening subsidence ("upsidence" at the end")



Tellus (1984), 36A, 446-457

#### Stratocumulus cloud deepening through entrainment

By DAVID A. RANDALL, Goddard Laboratory for Atmospheric Sciences, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Md 20771, USA

(Manuscript received February 14; in final form May 8, 1984)

#### ABSTRACT

It is shown that under a fairly wide range of realistic conditions, stratocumulus cloud-top entrainment actually tends to deepen an existing cloud layer, or tends to produce clouds in an unsaturated mixed layer, even though the entrained air is warmer and drier than the mixedlayer air. These results do not depend on any particular theory of what determines the entrainment rate; they imply that the cloud-top entrainment instability discussed by Randall and Deardorff does not necessarily tend to destroy a layer cloud; it sometimes only makes the cloud deepen. Examples are presented, using the representative soundings of McClatchey et al., the marine-layer data of Neiburger et al., and results from a simulation produced with the UCLA general circulation model.

Entrainment of dry and warm air from above the inversion:

- cloud top height  $(z_{top})$  rises
- cloud base height (z<sub>base</sub>) rises

Cloud deepening:

$$\frac{\partial z_{\text{cld}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial z_{\text{top}} - z_{\text{base}}}{\partial t} > 0$$

#### Vertical structure of a stratocumulus cloud layer

15 September 2004

WOOD AND BRETHERTON



### **Cloud top height tendency**

$$\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial t} = w_e + \overline{w}$$

Inversion height evolution determined by a competition between entrainment and subsidence

#### **Cloud layer depth tendency**

$$\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial t} = w_e + \overline{w}$$

Inversion height growth: competition between entrainment and subsidence

$$\frac{\partial Z_{\text{cld}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial Z_{\text{i}}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial Z_{\text{base}}}{\partial t}$$

Cloud layer depth growth: include cloud base height tendency

$$\frac{\partial z_{cld}}{\partial t} = w_{e} + \overline{w} - \frac{w_{e} \Delta \overline{q_{T}} + \overline{w' q_{T}'}_{z=z_{b}} - \Delta S_{q_{T}} - \frac{L_{v} q_{s}}{R_{v} T^{2}} \left[ w_{e} \Delta \overline{\theta_{L}} + \overline{w' \theta_{L}'}_{z=z_{b}} - \Delta F_{rad} / (\rho c_{p}) \right]}{\left( z_{i} - z_{b} \right) \left( \frac{\partial q_{s}}{\partial z} \right)}$$

$$\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial t} = w_e + \overline{w} \qquad \qquad z_{cld} = z_{top} - z_{base}$$

$$\frac{\partial z_{cld}}{\partial t} = \underbrace{w_e}_{e} + \overline{w}_{-} \underbrace{w_e \Delta \overline{q_T} + \overline{w'q_T'}_{z=z_b} - \Delta S_{q_T} - \frac{L_v q_s}{R_v T^2} \left[ w_e \Delta \overline{\theta_L} + \overline{w'\theta_L'}_{z=z_b} - \Delta F_{rad} / (\rho c_p) \right]}_{\left(z_i - z_b) \left(\frac{\partial q_s}{\partial z}\right)}$$
  
Cloud layer depth increases  
by entrainment



$$\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial t} = w_e + \overline{w} \qquad \qquad z_{cld} = z_{top} - z_{base}$$





# Cloud base and top height evolution for ASTEX as a function of the entrainment rate



# Cloud base and top height evolution for ASTEX as a function of the entrainment rate



# Cloud base and top height evolution for ASTEX as a function of the entrainment rate



### Decrease subsidence: more entrainment is needed to make cloud thinner



# **Main conclusions**

#### LES captures cloud evolution quite well

Stratocumulus cloud top increases, cumulus cloud base at top subcloud layer

#### Mean state:

Deviation in temperature and humidity during last part of transition

LES cannot represent mesoscale

#### Decoupling

Cumulus clouds transport moisture from the subcloud to the cloud layer

#### Subsidence and entrainment

For rather large entrainment rates (~ 2 cm/s) stratocumulus cloud layer can grow



## **Example: DYCOMS II RF01**



#### **Steady-state cloud layer depth: equilibrium entrainment rates**



**T**UDelft

#### **Steady-state cloud layer depth: equilibrium entrainment rates**



**T**UDelft

### **Regimes of cloud layer growth rates**



Cloud layer thins Cloud top height increases

