

a review

Claudia Stubenrauch*

S. Kinne, W. B. Rossow, C. Pearl, A. Heidinger, A. Walther, A. Guignard, S. Ackerman, S. Platnick, B. Maddux, P. Minnis, S. Sun-Mack, L. DiGirolamo, A. Menzies, P. Menzel, E. Olsen, J. Riedi, S. Zeng, D. Winker, B. Getzewich, H. Chepfer, G. Cesana, C. Poulsen, A. Sayer

> *Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, IPSL/CNRS Ecole Polytechnique, France





http://climserv.ipsl.polytechnique.fr/gewexca



co-chairs: C. Stubenrauch, S. Kinne

http://climserv.ipsl.polytechnique.fr/gewexca

initiated in 2005 by GEWEX Radiation panel (GRP)

Assessments essential for climate studies & model evaluation

- 2005-2010: 4 workshops (Madison, New York, Berlin)
- **2009-2011:** Preparation of common data base (monthly statistics in netCDF format)
- 2011: WCRP report, BAMS article & opening of data base to public
 - Homogenized documentation on
 - •sensor, calibration
 - retrieval method, ancillary data
 - •sampling
 - •evaluation

State strength & limitations & suitable applications for each dataset

make clear statements for each of the cloud properties (global averages & distributions, regional variability, seasonal cycles, interannual variations, longterm anomalies)

Cloud Assessment common data base

	to facilitate assess	sments, c	limate studies & model evaluation		
	properties:	(GCOS	ECV's)		
•	cloud amount	CA	+ rel. cloud type amount		
•	pressure/ height	CP/CZ			
•	temperature	СТ			
•	IR emissivity	CEM			
•	eff cloud amount	CAE	(= cloud amount weighted by emissivity)		
•	VIS optical depth	COD			
•	Water path	CLWP/C	NWP		
•	eff part. radius	CRE			
$1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ monthly statistics per obs time:					
• (averages,		•monthly variability, • <u>histograms</u>		
distinguish : tot, High, Mid, Low CP< 440 hPa, CP>680hPa Water, Ice CT>260K, CT<260 / 230K					

Participating cloud climate records:

ISCCP GEWEX cloud dataset	1984-2007	(Rossow et al.)
TOVS Path-B	1987-1994	(Stubenrauch et al.)
AIRS-LMD	2003-2009	(Stubenrauch et al.)
MODIS-Science Team	2001/3-2009	(Ackerman et al.; Platnick et al.)
MODIS-CERES	2001/3-2006	(Minnis et al.)
relatively new retrieval version	ns:	
PATMOS-x (AVHRR)	1982-2009	(Heidinger et al.)
ATSR-GRAPE	1999/2003-2009	(Poulsen et al.)
only CA or CAE & CT:		
HIRS-NOAA	1982-2008	(Wylie et al., Menzel et al.)
CALIPSO-ScienceTeam	2007-2008	(Winker et al.)
CALIPSO-GOCCP	2007-2008	(Chepfer et al.)
complementary cloud informat	tion:	
MISR	2001-2007	(DiGirolamo et al.)
POLDER (O ₂ & Rayleigh)	2006-2008	(Riedi et al.)

Cloud properties from space:

IR-NIR-VIS radiometers

good spat res (1-5km), 1 to 5 radiometric channels: depending on day-night
1) COD,CT (assumption on microphys)
2) spectral diff (VIS-NIR) -> CRE, CWP

IR Sounders

15km res, sounding CO₂ abs band (5-8 channels): sensitive to thin Ci (COD>0.1), day&night 1) CP,CEM (*no* assumption on microphys) 2) spectral diff (8-12μm) -> CRE, CWP (only Ci)

multi-angle VIS radiometers

1/20km res, only day, only sensitive to clouds with COD>2: Ci over low cld -> low cld multi-angle scattering -> cld top polarization -> CT independent phase



Monitoring of Earth coverage



Climate change studies: be aware of temporal changes in coverage!
 MODIS at high latitudes more than 1 orbit passages, all others have kept only 1 passage
 ISCCP nearly 100% coverage – MISR / ATSR 20% - CALIPSO 5%
 Interannual variability increases with decreasing Earth coverage!

Amount, Height, Temperature, Emissivity

Interpretation of cloud properties from satellite observations



Differences ocean - land



15% more clouds over ocean than over land (low clouds)

whereas over land there are more high and midlevel clouds

these are optically thinner over land, so that effective cloud amount of those is similar

CFMIP/GCSS/EUCLIPSE, Exeter



Latitudinal & seasonal variation of cloud layers

Cloud temperature:

latitudinal variation



CALIPSO:

including subvis Ci, T(cld top) passive remote sensing: T(rad. cld height)

=> CTH(CALIPSO) should be lowest & nearest to tropopause, largest latitudinal variability (PATMOSX should not be like CALIPSO for high clouds)

CT distributions reflect decrease of vertical extent of troposphere from tropics to poles



CFMIP/GCSS/EUCLIPSE, Exeter



11

Specific regions, compared to globe

Rossow et al. J. Clim. 2002 20° x 20° regions of typical climate regimes with increasing small scale variations: (1 - <COD(rad)>/<COD(lin)>)



1: SH Str Africa 2: SH Str America 3: SH midlat 4: NH EPacific 5: NAtlantic storms 6: SH Ci off America 7: SH Ci Amazon 8: SH Cb Africa 9: NH Cb Indonesia 10: ARM Southern Great Plain

AIRS-LMD ISCCP ATSR HIRS TOVS PATMOSX 0 June 2011

Strcum regions (1,2): smaller CAHR & optically thin

Storm regions (3,4,5): largest CA, opt thick NAtlantic (5): smaller monthly CT variability

ITCZ (8,9): largest CAHR (small CEMH, linked to Ci) & largest monthly CT variability

MISR

CALIPSO-ST CALIPSO-GOCCP

MODIS-CE

MODIS-ST

CFMIP/GCSS/EUCLIPSE, Exeter



Bulk microphysical properties



Whereas CA, CEM,CT, CP of the data base are well understood, differences in CRE and CWP have still to be further explored

Global averages of CREW (15µm) / CREI (25µm) agree quite well

IR sounders determine CREI, CIWP only for a subsample: semi-transparent ice clouds \Rightarrow CIWP is much smaller (25 gm⁻²) than averaged over all ice clouds (~100gm⁻²) VIS-IR methods: MODIS-ST / ATSR-GRAPE much larger values than ISCCP / MODIS-CE / PATMOSX

distributions are not Gaussian



CREW distributions agree quite well, with a large peak around 11 μ m, small peak at 42 μ m from ISCCP (W-I misidentification) CREI: IR sounders, ISCCP: large peak at 32 μ m, second peak of ISCCP at 18 μ m (misidentified I-W?) peaks of MODIS-ST & ATSR-GRAPE at 27 μ m (3.7 / 2.1 / 1.6 μ m) CLWP: large peak at 80 gm⁻²

CIWP: AIRS, TOVS compact distribution between 5 & 100 gm⁻²; ISCCP, PATMOSx large peak at 4 gm⁻² (regions with low clouds clouds?)

further investigations necessary

Conclusions

>To produce a common data base is challenging (GEWEX Cloud Assessment activity not funded)

However, once the data base is reliable and guidance is provided, it provides a wealth of information for climate studies

So far statistical analyses:

geographical distributions, latitudinal & seasonal variations agree quite well

differences can be mostly understood by different sensitivities to cirrus,

datasets of different maturity, differences day-night, land-ocean, multi-layer clds
 one should not build an average over all datasets,

but choose most appropriates for a specific study

>cloud products adequate for model evaluation & monitoring regional variability

ESA Cloud_CCI project (Climate Change Initiative) includes assessment activities
 & another cloud assessment workshop is foreseen at the end of the project (2013)

➤ to be updated on data base -> stubenrauch@Imd.polytechnique.fr