Joint EUCLIPSE - CFMIP meeting, May 30th, 2012

# Characteristics of vertical structures of marine boundary layer clouds over mid-latitudes

Hideaki Kawai, Shoukichi Yabu Meteorological Research Institute, JMA

> Yuichiro Hagihara Kyushu University

# Motivation

- Mid-latitude marine boundary layer clouds have not been studied so intensively compared with subtropical BL clouds.
- We want to know the vertical structures of the clouds and fog distribution globally. However, conventional satellite infrared imagers can give information of cloud top only and they cannot give the vertical profile information. Moreover, even the estimation of cloud top height from the infrared data includes huge uncertainty.
- → Is it possible to reveal the vertical structures of low clouds and fog frequency globally using the data from satellite cloud radar and lidar, and to know the relationships with meteorological parameters using reanalysis data?
  - e.g. What are seasonal variations of vertical structures of midlatitude low clouds? Are there differences between in NH and SH? If there are differences, what brings them?

### Data

#### Cloud observation data

Hagihara cloud mask data retrieved from CloudSat and CALIPSO (Hagihara et al. 2010, JGR). "CloudSat or CALIPSO mask (C4) data" are used.

#### Why Hagihara Cloud Mask?

CALIPSO can capture clouds even for the altitude lower than 1km. But there are a lot of aerosols in lower troposphere. Therefore, it's important to eliminate a contamination of aerosol signals from low clouds signals. Such aerosol signals are eliminated in Hagihara cloud mask data by a sophisticated algorism and it can be appropriate data for studies of low clouds.

#### Limitations:

CALIPSO cannot detect lower clouds under non-transparent upper clouds. CloudSat cannot detect clouds lower than 720m due to surface clutter and CloudSat cloud masks can contain drizzle or rain.

Meteorological data

**ERA-Interim** 

# Processing

The data are eliminated from statistics when there are clouds above 5km.

→ The statistics include only the data without any clouds above 5km.

Reasons:

\* The reliability of cloud mask is low when the cloud is deep or covered by upper clouds.

\* Low clouds uncovered by upper clouds are much more important for global radiation budget.

#### Example of Hagihara Cloud mask



#### Data Period : Monthly climatology is created using data of 2007 - 2009

Can this data capture low clouds and fogs?

– Frequency of cloud at each height –

<sup>0</sup> - 240 m

July



Cloud masks in North Pacific and near Newfoundland No cloud masks in unlikely areas



Cloud masks just adjacent to California



Cloud masks just adjacent to Peru



No cloud masks just adjacent to California



In subtropics, cloud masks in areas away from the continents. No cloud masks near California



In subtropics, no cloud masks near California or Peru

This data seems to capture low clouds even below 1000m well!

Can this data capture low clouds and fogs?

#### - Subtropical low clouds -





The data capture features that the cloud layers gradually increase going away from the continents, and that cloud layer is lower near California than near Peru!



# Frequency of occurrence of fogs



Southern Ocean in Winter, Ship obs. were few



Hagihara Cloud Mask 0-240m(July)



- \* Around Kamchatka Peninsula
- \* Near Newfoundland \* North of Iceland
- \* Arctic Ocean along Eurasia \* Southern Ocean

Hagihara cloud mask seems to capture fogs relatively well!

<sup>160</sup> (frequency in model is halved according to Teixeira (1999).)

#### Comparison of Vertical Structures of mid-latitude low



#### Mid-latitude Low Clouds **Relative frequency of occurrence Cloud Top/Base Height** of cloud base height 50 3300 [m] [%] 45 3000 2700 40 2400 35 **Cloud Top** 2100 $0 - 240 \,\mathrm{m} : \sim \mathrm{fog}$ Clear 30 NH 1800 25 Seasonal 1500 20 Variation 1200 **Cloud Base** 15 900 240 - 480 m 10 600 5 300 JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC 0 [m] [%] 45 3000 2700 40 2400 35 **Cloud Top** 2100 Unclear 30 SH 1800 Seasonal 25 1500 20 Variation 1200 **Cloud Base** 15 240 - 480 m 900 600 10 5 300 0 – 240 m : ~ fog 0 JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FÉB MÁR APR MÁY JÚN JÚL AÚG SÉP OCT NÓV DÉC

Seasonal Variations of Vertical Structures of

#### Seasonal Variations of Meteorological Factors



The seasonal variations of meteorological factors are clear in northern hemisphere. They are not clear in southern hemisphere.

### **Cloud Top Height and Meteorological Factors**



SH: Both seasonal variations of cloud top height and meteorological factors are small.

### Occurrence of fogs and meteorological factors



y axis:

relative frequency of occurrence of the cloud base height at 0 - 240m

### NH mid-latitudeSH mid-latitude

Monthly data (12 for each hemisphere) are plotted.

2mT – SST is positive LCL is low (2m RH is high (not shown)) Sensible heat flux is small (not shown) [ Latent heat flux is small (not shown) ] [ Stability is large ] Warm temperature advection



High frequency of occurrence of fog

# Summary

- 1. It was roughly checked if Hagihara cloud mask data can capture the detailed vertical structures of low clouds.
  - → The data seems to capture the structures relatively well and, probably, it can be used for low cloud studies.
- 2. Difference of vertical structures of low clouds and the frequency of occurrence of fogs between NH and SH, and their seasonal variations were investigated.
- 3. Relationships between vertical structures of low clouds and meteorological factors were investigated and clear relationships between the structures and atmospheric stability were confirmed. The relationships between fogs and meteorological factors were also investigated and correlation between frequency of fog occurrence and difference between 2m temperature and SST, 2m RH, and LCL were shown.

### Future Plan

- 1. The following results related to vertical structures of midlatitude low clouds will be shown at the coming GASS meeting.
  - A) Analysis of detailed geographical distribution
  - B) Difference between subtropical and mid-latitude low clouds.
  - C) Comparison of these obs. data and low clouds in models

2. Characteristics of mid-latitude low clouds will be investigated using other data set.

### Thank you!





Latitude (degrees)