Importance of instantaneous radiative forcing to tropospheric adjustment

Tomoo Ogura¹, Mark Webb², Masahiro Watanabe³, Hugo Lambert⁴, and Yoko Tsushima²

1. National Institute for Environmental Studies

- 2. Met Office Hadley Centre
- 3. Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, The University of Tokyo
- 4. University of Exeter

2012/05/29 Joint EUCLIPSE-CFMIP meeting

Tropospheric adjustment of Lower Tropospheric Stability (LTS) to CO2 doubling (CFMIP1/CMIP3 slab ocean experiments)



Webb et al. submitted to Climate Dynamics

Negative response of MIROC3.2 leads to large inter-model difference²

Understanding the difference in LTS adjustment

Additional experiments with 3 GCMs

MIROC3.2(T42L20) compared with HadGEM2-A(N96L38) and MIROC5.0(T85L40).
CMIP3

Experimental design

- Trop. adjustment evaluated by "4xCO2 AMIP" minus "AMIP", 1979-2008.
 ; difference in 30 years average between the two experiments. (Hansen et al. 2005)
- The above approach chosen to confirm the result of regression method. (Gregory et al. 2004)
- Using MIROC3.2, four members of initial value ensemble runs obtained for significance test (95%).
- ➢ For HadGEM-2 and MIROC5.0, one member analyzed for each.

Tropospheric adjustment of LTS estimated from AMIP-type runs

LTS response (4xCO2 AMIP *minus* AMIP, Annual mean)





-1.2 -0.9 -0.6 -0.3 0 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 [K]

Negative adjustment found in MIROC3.2 (over subtropical ocean).



Tropospheric adjustment of Cloud Radiative Effect (CRE) from AMIP-type runs

Shortwave CRE Response (4xCO2 AMIP *minus* AMIP, Annual mean)



Positive adjustment in MIROC3.2, consistent with the LTS adjustment.

Tropospheric adjustment of temperature profile from AMIP-type runs

Temperature response (4xCO2 AMIP *minus* AMIP, Zonal Annual mean)



What causes the negative adjustment in mid-troposphere in MIROC3.2?

Analysis of temperature tendency terms



difference between 4xCO2 and 1xCO2 by Δ ,

$$\Delta T(t') = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{7} \Delta \overline{T} i\right) \times t'$$

 $\begin{array}{c}
 4xCO2 \\
 \Delta T(t') \\
 1xCO2 \\
 0 \\
 t' \\
 t \\
 t
 \end{array}$

Consistency checked between T response, $\Delta T(t')$, and tendency terms response, $\Delta \overline{T}i$.

Tropospheric adjustment of temperature tendency terms in MIROC3.2



Contribution from multiple terms to the negative ΔT in mid-troposphere.

Tropospheric adjustment of temperature tendency terms in MIROC3.2



Contribution of Δ Inst. Forcing appears important to the negative Δ T.

Inter-model difference of Δ Inst. Forcing; is it consistent with Δ T?



Inter-model difference of Δ Inst. Forcing appears consistent with Δ T.

Evaluation of Δ Inst. Forcing with Line-By-Line (LBL) calculations.



Radiative Transfer Model Intercomparison Project (RTMIP), AR4 models including MIROC3, compared with LBLs.

The negative Δ Inst. Forcing in mid-troposphere may be questionable.

Tropospheric adjustment of Lower Tropospheric Stability (LTS) to 2xCO2 (CFMIP1/CMIP3 slab ocean experiments)



Fig. 9 Lower Tropospheric Stability (LTS) composites of net, shortwave and longwave CRE components of forcing over the low latitude oceans (30N/S) in the AR4 ensemble, and rapid responses of LTS, Estimated Inversion Strength (EIS) and pressure velocity at 500 hPa.

Webb et al. submitted to Climate Dynamics

¹²Negative response of MIROC3.2 leads to large inter-model difference.

Summary

An example of model inter-comparison study on LTS adjustment presented.

- LTS reduction in MIROC3.2 reflects cooling in mid-troposphere , which can be explained by multiple factors, especially instantaneous radiative forcing.
- Comparison with LBL calculation suggests that the negative forcing in mid-troposphere is questionable.
- Inter-model difference in LTS adjustment is expected to decrease with the above constraint (at least in CMIP3).



Seasonal variation of Δ Inst. Forcing; is it related to Δ T?



Seasonal variation of Δ Inst. Forcing correlated with Δ T only in MIROCs.

Forcing, feedback and tropospheric adjustment

 $N = Q - Y \cdot \Delta Ts \qquad \text{Gregory et al. (2004)}$

- N: radiative imbalance at TOA (positive down, W/m2)
- Q: radiative forcing
- Y: climate feedback(W/m2/K)
- ΔTs : global annual mean surface T change





16

Diversity in Δ Teff between GCMs results from diversity in Y and Q (including adjustment)

Lower Tropospheric static Stability (LTS)

 $\Delta \theta = \theta$ (p=700mb) $-\theta$ (p=sea level pressure, T=surface air temperature)

Klein and Hartmann (1993)



FIG. 2. The average stratus, stratocumulus, and sky-obscuring fog cloud amount in percent as seen by surface-based observers (a) annually; (b) for June, July, and August; and (c) for December, January, and February. Missing data indicates regions where fewer than 100 observations

JJA Stability

FIG. 14. Climatological values of lower-tropospheric static stability for the June, July, and August season in degrees Celsius. Contour interval is 2°C.



FIG. 13. Scatterplot of seasonally averaged stratocumulus cloud amount with seasonally averaged lower-tropospheric stability for the five subtropical oceanic regions and the Chinese stratus region. In addition, the June, July, and August seasonally averaged quantities are plotted for the North Pacific and North Atlantic but are not included in the regression.

Stratus Cloud Amount vs. Stability



HadGEM2