

## Using a Multi-Physics Ensemble for Exploring Diversity in Cloud-Shortwave Feedback in GCMs

Masahiro Watanabe Atmosphere & Ocean Research Institute University of Tokyo hiro@aori.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Collaborators: M. Yoshimori, Y. Kamae, M. Kimoto (AORI, Univ of Tokyo), H. Shiogama, T. Yokohata, T. Ogura, S. Emori (NIES), J. D. Annan, J. C. Haargreaves (JAMSTEC)

EUCLIPSE & CFMIP meeting, 29 May – 1 June, 2012, Paris

### Did climate sensitivity get converged in CMIP5?

### Equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS) to 2xCO<sub>2</sub> in CMIP models



Mitchell et al. (1990), Kattenberg et al. (1996), Cubasch et al. (2001), IPCC (2007)

Change in cloud-shortwave flux



Courtesy of K Taylor

### Filling the gap between two PPEs



### MIROC5 Multi-Physics Ensemble (MPE)

Replacing one or more schemes in MIROC5 w/ old ones:

- ✓ Std (=MIROC5)
- ✓ (old)CLD
- ✓ (old)CNV
- ✓ (old)VDF
- ✓ (old)CLD+CNV
  - (old)CNV+VDF
- ✓ (old)CLD+VDF
- (old)CLD+CNV+VDF

~ MIROC3

Structural difference > Parametric difference
 Any strategy to link them ?

Watanabe et al. (2012, JC)

### Filling the gap between two PPEs



MIROC5 Multi-Physics Ensemble (MPE)

Replacing one or more schemes in MIROC5 w/ old ones:

- ✓ Std (=MIROC5)
- ✓ (old)CLD
- ✓ (old)CNV
- ✓ (old)VDF
- ✓ (old)CLD+CNV
- ✓ (old)CNV+VDF
- ✓ (old)CLD+VDF
- (old)CLD+CNV+VDF

~ MIROC3

Structural difference > Parametric difference
 Any strategy to link them ?

Watanabe et al. (2012, JC)

### **Coupling processes making differences**

MIROC MPE:
For each of 8 models,
✓ 6y CTL
✓ 6y 4xCO2
✓ 6y +SST (from CGCM)
runs are performed w/ AGCM
After slight re-tuning

Processes are nonlinear, e.g.,

CLD	->	Small impact
VDF	->	Small impact
CLD+VDF	->	Large impact

#### Climate sensitivity vs SWcld feedback



'Feedback occurs thru the interaction of a suite of parameterized processes rather than from any single process' (Zhang & Bretherton 2008)

## SWcld feedback patterns



## **Cloud regimes**



Circulation regime sorted by  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 

# **Cloud diagnosis**

Cloud fraction is a function of grid-scale saturation excess, Qc, and PDF moments,  $\mu_i$ :

Change in +SST run ( $\Delta$ ) can be decomposed into 4 terms (overbar is the mean value in CTL)

RH

effect

$$\Delta Q_{c} = \overline{a}_{L} \left( \Delta q_{t} - \Delta q_{s} \right) + \Delta a_{L} \left( \overline{q}_{t} - \overline{q}_{s} \right)$$

$$= \overline{a}_{L} \left[ (\overline{H} - 1) \overline{\alpha}_{L} \Delta T + \Delta H \overline{q}_{s} + \left\{ 1 - (\overline{H} - 1) \overline{\alpha}_{L} L e_{p}^{-1} \right\} \Delta q_{l} \right] + \Delta a_{L} \left( \overline{q}_{t} - \overline{q}_{s} \right)$$

Temperature effect Condensate effect

CC effect

### Sources of Qc change



In the middle troposphere of the convective regime, temp. effect (-) cancels the CC effect (+), with the net being weakly negative

STD

In the low level, positive RH effect due to slight increase in RH (~2%) is crucial



-3

3

6

η

-12

-9

-6

$$\Delta H \approx \left( \Delta q \overline{q}_s - \overline{q} \Delta q_s \right) / \overline{q}_s^2$$

Positive  $\Delta H$  comes from positive  $\Delta q$ , which is attributed to more active turbulence transport at  $\eta$ >0.8

Negative  $\Delta H$  is due to positive  $\Delta q_s$ , which results from a drying by larger  $\Delta T$  than the effect of enhanced transport of q

# **Cloud regimes**



# Summary

- Given *two* base models showing different cloud feedbacks, MPE can be a useful approach to understand sources of the different behaviour
- ✓ In MIROC MPE, no single process controls
   △SW<sub>cld</sub>, but the coupling of two processes does:
   ✓ cloud and turbulence schemes
   ✓ convection and cloud schemes
- Change in the saturation excess has a similar structure among the models, but difference in the mean and sensitivity may cause an opposite response of low clouds